

Growth of α -plane BaTiO_3 on α -plane $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ by Molecular-Beam Epitaxy

Kathy Azizie¹, Naomi A. Pieczulewski¹, Jian V. Li^{2,3}, Nicholas A. Parker¹, Nolan S. Hendricks⁴, Kyle J. Liddy⁴, Yorick A. Birkhölzer¹, Eric A. Welp⁵, Luke Omodt⁵, Thaddeus J. Asel², Shin Mou², David A. Muller^{6,7}, and Darrell G. Schlom^{1,6,7,8}

¹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Cornell University

²Air Force Research Laboratory, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate

³Core4ce

⁴Air Force Research Laboratory, Sensors Directorate

⁵Platform for the Accelerated Realization, Analysis, and Discovery of Interface Materials, Cornell University

⁶Kalvi Institute at Cornell for Nanoscale Science

⁷School of Applied and Engineering Physics, Cornell University

ka487@cornell.edu

Introduction

We demonstrate the epitaxial growth of single-phase (100) BaTiO_3 films on (100) $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ substrates using molecular-beam epitaxy. $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ shows immense promise as a semiconductor for power electronics due to its ultra-wide band gap (4.4–4.9 eV), large predicted breakdown field ($\sim 8 \text{ MV cm}^{-1}$), and mobilities that can be controlled with doping over device-relevant ranges ($10^{15}\text{--}10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) [1–5]. One major issue hindering the performance of vertical $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ -based devices is the difficulty in terminating junctions to achieve low peak electric fields. The maximum voltage that can be applied to the devices is ideally limited only by the breakdown electric field of the $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ itself but in practice breakdown is limited to lower average fields due to stronger electric fields at junction edges. It has been demonstrated that vertical Schottky-barrier diodes made utilizing conventional metal anodes in direct contact with $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ are limited to about 3.5 MV cm^{-1} [6]. Xia *et al* demonstrated that by incorporating a polycrystalline high-dielectric constant material between the anode and $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ they could achieve a higher average breakdown field of 5.7 MV cm^{-1} , which is the highest reported to date [6]. In this work we tackle the challenge of integrating epitaxial BaTiO_3 with $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ in a way that provides the direction of highest K in the out-of-plane direction, i.e., (100)-oriented BaTiO_3 , on vicinal (100) $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ substrates that appear promising for vertical high-voltage $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ devices [7].

Results and Discussion

We find that (100) BaTiO_3 grows epitaxially on (100) $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ by molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE) over a broad range of substrate temperatures with various *in-situ* and *ex-situ* analysis techniques including RHEED, XRD, XRR, AFM, and STEM. By growing BaTiO_3 and Si-doped $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ on appropriately vicinal (100) $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ substrates by MBE and suboxide molecular beam epitaxy (S-MBE), respectively, we fabricate a metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) capacitor and measure the epitaxial BaTiO_3 to have a dielectric constant in the out-of-plane direction of $K_{11} \approx 670$, which is more than twice as high as all prior dielectrics that have been integrated with $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ [6,8]. We show that by being able to epitaxially integrate a high K BaTiO_3 layer, we can achieve up to a 20% decrease in the peak electric field.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge Bennett Cromer, Hari Nair, and Huili (Grace) Xing for fruitful discussions. K.A., N.A. Pieczulewski, D.A.M., and D.G.S. acknowledge support from the AFOSR/AFRL ACCESS Center of Excellence under Award No. FA9550-18-1-0529. N.A. Pieczulewski acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) Graduate Research Fellowship Program (GRFP) (Grant No. DGE21139899). Y.A.B. acknowledges support from by SUPREME, one of seven centers in JUMP 2.0, a Semiconductor Research Corporation (SRC) program sponsored by DARPA. N.A. Parker and E.A.W. acknowledge support from the NSF [Platform for the Accelerated Realization, Analysis and Discovery of Interface Materials (PARADIM)] under Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1539918. This work made use of the Cornell Center for Materials Research (CCMR) Shared Facilities, which are supported through the NSF MRSEC Program (Grant No. DMR-1719875). Substrate preparation was performed, in part, at the Cornell NanoScale Facility, a member of the National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure (NNCI), which is supported by the NSF (Grant No. NNCI-2025233).

References

- [1] H. H. Tippins, Phys. Rev. **140**, A316-A319 (1965)
- [2] M. Higashiwaki, H. Murakami, Y. Kumagai, and A. Kuramata, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **55**, 1202A1 (2016)
- [3] M. Higashiwaki, and G. H. Jessen, Appl. Phys. Lett. **112**, 060401 (2018)
- [4] Z. Galazka, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **33**, 113001 (2018).
- [5] A. J. Green, J. Speck, G. Xing, P. Moens, F. Allerstam, K. Gummelius, T. Neyer, A. Arias-Purdue, V. Mehrotra, A. Kuramata, K. Sasaki, S. Watanabe, K. Koshi, J. Blevins, O. Bierwagen, S. Krishnamoorthy, K. Leedy, A. R. Arehart, A. T. Neal, S. Mou, S. A. Ringel, A. Kumar, A. Sharma, K. Ghosh, U. Singisetti, W. Li, K. Chabak, K. Liddy, A. Islam, S. Rajan, S. Graham, S. Choi, Z. Cheng, and M. Higashiwaki, APL Mater. **10**, 029201 (2022)
- [6] Z. Xia, H. Chandrasekar, W. Moore, C. Wang, A. J. Lee, J. McGlone, N. K. Kalarickal, A. Arehart, S. Ringel, F. Yang, and S. Rajan, Appl. Phys. Lett. **115**, 252104 (2019)
- [7] J. Rehm, T. -S. Chou, S. B. Anooz, P. Seyidov, A. Fiedler, Z. Galazka, and A. Popp, Appl. Phys. Lett. **121**, 240503 (2022)
- [8] S. Roy, A. Bharracharyya, P. Ranga, H. Splawn, J. Leach, and S. Krishnamoorthy, IEEE Electron Device Lett. **42**, 1140-1143 (2021)